

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,458 Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	KANG ET AL. Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____.

(2) Richard S. Milner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy, in view of pages 1, 12, and 15 of the instant specification.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)